GRAHAM E. BERRY ATTORNEY & COUNSELOR AT LAW 3384 McLAUGHLIN AVENUE LOS ANGELES, CA 90066

Telephone and Facsimile: (310) 745-3771 Email: grahamberry@ca.rr.com

October 2, 2009

By Fax and First Class Mail

Mr. Fausto Atilano, Fausto's Bail Bonds, 30195 Auld Road, Murrieta, CA 92563

Re: Francois Choquette, Jeff Stone, the Church of Scientology, et al.

Dear Mr. Fausto,

I represent Francois Choquette in criminal proceedings involving the Church of Scientology and I will be representing him in civil proceedings that will soon be filed by him against the Church of Scientology and certain of its employees. Supervisor Jeff Stone may be involved in those proceedings. Scientology has apparently used Jeff Stone as their shill in matters involving persons protesting the human trafficking, unlawful imprisonments and other human rights abuses taking place at the Scientology gulag at Gilman Hot Springs near Hemet.

Aspects of these matters are already being investigated which may be why the leader of Scientology (David Miscavige) has left Gilman Hot Springs and is understood to be in the nation of Columbia with whom the United States does not have an extradition treaty. You can read of some of these matters on the Internet at www.xenu.net, ex scientologist message board (www.forum.exscn.net), www.whyweprotest.net Search the name of Jeff Stone on those message boards and you will see some of the developing matters in which you have now involved yourself.

Today, in front of a number of people, Mr. Stone apparently told you to arrest Mr. Choquette which you subsequently did. You may now watch it on YouTube http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=b1t6ZJF9uIc You can also read all about it at www.angrygaypope.com

You made a citizen's arrest of your client Mr. Choquette for bogus reasons as subsequently confirmed by other bail bonds-men. After some time and several requests you told Mr. Choquette that he was in breach of the condition that he telephones your office every week. However, nowhere in the relevant paperwork is this stated. Moreover, when you marched your handcuffed client into your office the lady assistant checked your computer and said, "Everything's fine. He's in compliance. He's due in court on the 19th." You then interjected, "Well he was harassing Jeff Stone.

I am instructed that you then whisked Mr. Choquette out to a car and left him inside while you returned back to your office for some time. When you returned Mr. Choquette informed you that Mr. Stone never said "get away from me, stop it, don't talk to me" or anything similar. Furthermore, there were at least three Deputy Sheriffs standing near Supervisor Stone at the courthouse security check point. They were not asked to intervene between Mr. Choquette and Mr. Stone; there was nothing to intervene between! What is apparent is that Supervisor Stone panicked when he saw Mr. Choquette and rushed through security while signaling to you to make a citizen's arrest Mr. Choquette. You complied after demanding that Mr. Choquette leave the courthouse and the vicinity of the various sheriffs' deputies present during the harassment you have alleged. As the saying goes, the rest is recorded history: http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=b1t6ZJF9ulc and www.angrygaypope.com

Later, (after you and Mr. Choquette had left) I visited your offices twice. On the second occasion I told the lady assistant in your office (the one who earlier had said "He's in compliance") that the "you failed to telephone weekly" reason was bogus. Having apparently had her story changed by you, she then stated, "Well [Choquette] had been harassing Mr. Stone."

No, as Mr. Choquette told you, there was no harassment of Mr. Stone by Mr. Choquette. Mr. Choquette was merely exercising a first amendment right to ask Mr. Stone about his open assistance to a dangerous cult engaged in horrendous human rights abuses within Riverside County, and the handling of documents previously given to him. You may be unaware that the District Attorney has already written admitting that evidence in these matters was destroyed. Fortunately we had replacement copies!

Your discretion to revoke bail cannot be exercised in such an arbitrary, bad faith and capricious manner. As a matter of law, an implied condition of good faith and fair dealing was in the bail contract between you and your client. I am informed that prior to your false arrest of Mr. Choquette you had been engaged in a photo op with Supervisor Stone. According to the Register of Voters, you recently gave Mr. Stone \$3,900.00. These are matters which will be dealt with separately at other times in other venues. However, several cameras recorded much of what occurred including cameras being used by several of the people who were with you and Mr. Stone.

Accordingly, the purpose of this letter is to demand that you, your employees and others with you, including but not limited to the videographer, preserve unaltered and unedited ALL photographic, video and audio materials (however recorded) and any other documents, telephone records, checks, receipts, etc. concerning in any way Mr. Choquette, Mr. Stone, yourself and your company pending service on you and your business of, in the first instance, a *subpoena duces tecum* to attend court and to produce documents at trial commencing on October 30, 2009. The subpoenas will be served next week. These same documents will also be required in civil proceedings which will soon be served.

I need hardly inform someone engaged in your business that failure to preserve safe, secure and unaltered all of these materials could be deemed by a court to be spoliation of evidence, obstruction of justice, part of conspiracy to interfere with constitutional rights and to obstruct justice, etc., etc.

Because one of your employees was unwise enough to threaten me with a defamation suit from your lawyers my client shall be free to publish this letter where-ever he chooses. Should you have any questions either you or your lawyer should contact me.

Graham E. Berry

Cc: Mr. François Choquette

Bccs: Per separate list