- 1		
1 2 3 4 5 6	Kendrick L. Moxon, State Bar No. 12824 MOXON & KOBRIN kmoxon@earthlink.net 3055 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 900 Los Angeles, California 90010 Telephone: (213) 487-4468 Facsimile: (213) 487-5385 Attorney for Plaintiff Pro se	0
7		
8	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
9	COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES	
10	*	
11		
12	KENDRICK MOXON	Case No. BC429217
13	Plaintiff,	DECLIES FOR THE PART OF
14		REQUEST FOR FINDING OF CONTEMPT AGAINST GRAHAM
15	VS.	BERRY PURSUANT TO C.C.P. §391.7(a) FOR FILING OF AMENDED CROSS- COMPLAINT
16		Dept: 58
17	GRAHAM BERRY,	Date: No hearing scheduled
18	Defendant.	
19		
20		
21	As addressed in plaintiff's pending Opposition to Vexatious Litigant's Request	
22	for Leave to File Action and Request For Finding of Contempt Against Graham Berry,	
23	Mr. Berry's Cross-complaint was filed before he received leave from the court to do so,	
24	in violation of C.C.P. §391.7(c) and the ruling finding him to be a vexatious litigant.	
25	That Opposition noted that his failure to acquire leave of court prior to filing the	
26	cross-complaint, is punishable with contempt under §391.7(a).	
27		
28		
	Request For Finding of Contempt	

The Amended Cross-complaint is nearly twice as long, with 63 pages of incomprehensible allegations going back 33 years, claiming conspiracies against him by many litigants, witnesses, lawyers and jurists. And, like its predecessor, the Amended Cross-complaint seeks to re-litigate each of the many final judgments issued from 1998 through 2000, in which Mr. Berry was sanctioned and admonished for filing the frivolous actions. One of those actions includes the case in which he was ultimately found to be vexatious litigant.

Although Mr. Berry was informed that leave of court is required before he may

In response, Mr. Berry did not withdraw his cross-complaint. He amended it.

Although Mr. Berry was informed that leave of court is required before he may file any action, the Amended Cross-complaint was also filed without such leave being sought or granted.

The Amended Cross-complaint seeks to re-litigate before this Court, five different lawsuits which Mr. Berry lost before five different jurists and appellate courts, each of which was final a decade ago, and each of which was found to warrant the imposition of sanctions against him. The Amended Cross-complaint is more of the same harassing and vexatious litigation conduct that caused Mr. Berry to be declared a vexatious litigant in the first place and caused him to be suspended from the practice of law for 18 months. A random review of nearly any paragraph of the Amended Cross-complaint easily confirms this.

Moreover, because plaintiff notified the Court and the clerk on February 22, 2010, of Mr. Berry's failure to acquire leave of court prior to the filing of the action, the action was automatically stayed pursuant to C.C.P. §391.7(c). Thus, neither Mr. Berry nor his new co-counsel possessed the authority to file the Amended Crosscomplaint. ¹ Mr. Berry was also apprised of the stay before the new pleading was filed.

Mr. Berry's inclusion of co-counsel to the Amended Cross-complaint in addition to himself as counsel pro se, does not alter the requirements of C.C.P. §391.7(a) that he acquire leave of court before filing the action on his own behalf.

The Amended Cross-complaint and Cross-Complaint should accordingly be stricken, and Mr. Berry held in contempt for further violation of C.C.P. §391.7(a) as authorized by that section.

In the event this Court would permit Mr. Berry to file the Amended Cross-complaint and require the plaintiff/cross-defendant to defend these allegations yet again, it should condition the filing upon Mr. Berry's posting of a security bond pursuant to §391.7(b), in the amount of the underlying judgment sought to be renewed of \$48,000, plus the reasonable fees and costs in defending the Amended Cross-complaint, in the amount of an additional \$150,000.

Dated: March 11, 2010

Respectfully submitted,

Kendri¢k Moxon Counsel pro se

MOXON & KOBRIN

1 PROOF OF SERVICE 2 I am employed in Los Angeles County, California, at Moxon & Kobrin, 3055 Wilshire Blvd., Ste. 900, Los Angeles, CA, 90010. 3 4 On March 11, 2010, I served by First Class Mail, postage prepaid the following document: 5 REQUEST FOR FINDING OF CONTEMPT AGAINST GRAHAM BERRY 6 PURSUANT TO C.C.P. §391.7(a) FOR FILING OF AMENDED CROSS-7 **COMPLAINT** 8 on the following persons: 9 Graham Berry 10 3384 McLaughlin Ave. Los Angeles, CA 90066 11 Barry Van Sickle 12 1079 Sunrise Ave. 13 Roseville, CA 95661 14 Executed on March 11, 2010, in Los Angeles, California, I declare under the 15 penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct. 16 17 18 Kendrick Moxon 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27

28